

المحترم

سعادة الدكتور

أفيدكم بان المعايير التالية هي المعايير المعتمدة من قبل AAAs في تقييم التقارير السنوية والنهائية.

لذا ارجو الاطلاع ومراعاة هذه المعايير عند اعداد التقارير السنوية والنهائية الخاصة بمشاريعكم لضمان حصولها على افضل النتائج.

متمنين لكم التوفيق والسداد.

الخطة الوطنية للعلوم والتقنية والابتكار

Dear Dr.

The annual and final reports of your projects will be evaluated according to the following criteria.

So, please, take them into consideration during the preparation of your reports to ensure best results.

Wishing you the very best.

NPST team

# Kacst 2012 Project Evaluation 1

For research projects funded through the National Science, Technology, and Innovation Plan (NSTIP)

**Project ID:**

**Project Title:**

**Principal Investigator:**

**Institution:**

**Strategic Technology Area:**

**Project Period:**

**Project Budget (SR):**

**Project Evaluation Type:**

Please review the project progress report against the following criteria:

1) **The progress of the research. What are the main results of the completed research? Assess the progress made in relation to what was planned in the proposal. Have the objectives and/or timelines changed, and if so, address whether the changes are appropriate? For interim reports, are the plans for the second year of research appropriate?**

Score (10 possible points)

2) **The impact of the proposed program. Assess how well the activity has promoted teaching, training, and learning. To what extent has the activity enhanced the infrastructure for research and education, such as through facilities, instrumentation, networks, and partnerships? How well have results been disseminated broadly to enhance scientific and technological understanding? State the benefits of the proposed activity to society?**

Score (10 possible points)

3) **The path to success.** Have the expenditures been reasonable and the time and resources dedicated appropriate to achieving the objectives? Has there been appropriate use of personnel? Were any challenges encountered during the implementation of the project addressed appropriately? If this is an interim report, what is the likelihood of achieving the project objectives by the end of the award?.

Score (10 possible points)

4) **Alignment with NSTIP.** Are project activities aligned with the goals of Saudi Arabia's Strategic Technologies Program, established by the National Science and Technology and Innovation Plan (NSTIP), in the technology area identified by the investigator? If “No”, please provide comments justifying this rating.

The following table summarizes the criteria and score provided above:

Criterion	Maximum Score	Total Score
Progress of the research	10	
Impact of proposed program	10	
Path to success	10	
Total Score	30	

**Please rate the progress of the project:**

Given your assessment of the progress and challenges outlined in previous sections, provide a qualitative rating of the project based on the total score.

below 10	Poor
10-14.9	Fair
15-19.9	Adequate
20-24.9	Very Good
above 24.9	Excellent

Please note any areas or issues that should be addressed to improve the likelihood of achieving objectives during the second year of funding. If the progress on the project was rated ‘Poor’, please comment on whether the proposed course-correction can be accomplished within the next year or whether the project has missed major milestones to the extent that a termination of funding may be warranted.

*For more information pls. contact  
Technical follow-up section  
Dr. Yousef Qat  
96203*