**KACST Progress Report Review**

**Project ID:**

**Project Title:**

**Principal Investigator:**

**Institution:**

 **Strategic:**

**Technology Area:**

**Project Period:**

**Progress**

**Evaluation Type:**

**1) The progress of the research. What are the main results of the completed research? Assess the progress made in relation to what was planned in the proposal. Have the objectives and/or timelines changed, and if so, address whether the changes are appropriate? For interim reports, are the plans for the second year of research appropriate?**

**Score (10 possible points)**

**2) The impact of the proposed program. Assess how well the activity has promoted teaching, training, and learning. To what extent has the activity enhanced the infrastructure for research and education, such as through facilities, instrumentation, networks, and partnerships? How well have results been disseminated broadly to enhance scientific and technological understanding? State the benefits of the proposed activity to society?**

**Score (10 possible points)**

**3) The path to success. Have the expenditures been reasonable and the time and resources dedicated appropriate to achieving the objectives? Has there been appropriate use of personnel? Were any challenges encountered during the implementation of the project addressed appropriately? If this is an interim report, what is the likelihood of achieving the project objectives by the end of the award?**

**Score (10 possible points)**

**4) Alignment with NSTIP. Are project activities aligned with the goals of Saudi Arabia's Strategic Technologies Program, established by the National Science and Technology and Innovation Plan (NSTIP), in the technology area identified by the investigator? If “No”, please provide comments justifying this rating.**

**The following table summarizes the criteria and score provided above:**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Criterion** | **Maximum Score** | **Total Score** |
| Progress of the research | **10** |  |
| Impact of proposed program | **10** |  |
| Path to success | **10** |  |
| Total Score | **30** |  |

**Please rate the progress of the project:**

**Given your assessment of the progress and challenges outlined in previous sections, provide a qualitative rating of the project based on the total score.**

Poor (14.9 points and below): project progress has been unsatisfactory or report contains insufficient documentation; significant doubts or concerns

**Please note any areas or issues that should be addressed to improve the likelihood of achieving objectives during the second year of funding. If the progress on the project was rated ‘Poor’, please comment on whether the proposed course-correction can be accomplished within the next year or whether the project has missed major milestones to the extent that a termination of funding may be warranted.**